

Beat: News

Hypothesis question; Did Elon Musk scam the whole world?

IRS ALLERT

San Francisco, 12.11.2023, 19:20 Time

USPA NEWS - With the expansion and accessibility of social media networks, this new communication tool became more powerful than the biggest influencer in the world, the press.

Let's think about some press channels and some social media channels and make a comparison. Thinking of advertising, a paper sells a piece of AD, and that paper ad value is mostly based on the number of papers its average sells.

A radio network, sells radio ads, based on the number of transmissions that the station channel sends to an active receptor, and the same is true for TV, a TV channel just sells ads based on its audience number, and all of these channels, I believe that the FCC must have some control over the audience number, in order to collect tax and create a fair market value.

If a TV sells an AD for \$1 million of dollars, everything is reported, and the feds can track and make it fair because they know what the AD is worth, because of the number of active receptors (Number of equipment turned on receiving that specific signal), the impact of the AD, and everything can be tracked through transmissions

Now, let's think about Twitter, X, and Elon Musk. When he decided to buy Twitter, he asked if Twitter could confirm the number of real profiles, and they couldn't. The FCC, rules were even brought to discussion when the purchase happened because they don't have any control over that. Let's think now about DATA Manipulation;

If Elon Musk, reports to the FCC the number of users on Twitter is going down, they must believe it, because is all a question of value. Fewer users make the company less valuable, so it looks like he's losing money so they don't need to verify.

Now think if Musk is lying, that, after the purchase, the number of users is still the same, and he is just manipulating the data, to pay fewer taxes, but the real number, the real impact, for example of an AD, he tells them secretly.

Let's think about Pepsi for example, Pepsi pays 1 million for Musk, so he runs the AD on Twitter. Musk tells the Pepsi executives, let's put on paper you paid only \$100 thousand, and I am going to put on my paper that you got 100,000 viewers.

Everyone looking in thinking the Pepsi AD got only 100k viewers and Pepsi on paper paid only 100k. But the reality is, Pepsi paid 1 million, and got 1 million viewers as promised, but the general report both said they got fewer viewers so both can pay fewer taxes.
DEAL

The IRS needs to charge them for whatever they are reporting, and the FCC can not confirm if the reports are valuable or not because they never track the real number of transmissions.

That explains really well, why Twitter's value keeps going down and he doesn't do anything about it, he does not invest, he just watches and keeps washing money. For real, if you own a business, and your business is falling apart something you would do, but again for him paying less taxes is the way. And he is still, the richest person in the world.

Article online:

<https://www.uspa24.com/bericht-23783/hypothesis-question-did-elon-musk-scam-the-whole-world.html>

Editorial office and responsibility:

V.i.S.d.P. & Sect. 6 MDSiV (German Interstate Media Services Agreement): Ricardo De Melo Matos

Exemption from liability:

The publisher shall assume no liability for the accuracy or completeness of the published report and is merely providing space for the submission of and access to third-party content. Liability for the content of a report lies solely with the author of such report. Ricardo De Melo Matos

Editorial program service of General News Agency:

United Press Association, Inc.
3651 Lindell Road, Suite D168
Las Vegas, NV 89103, USA
(702) 943.0321 Local
(702) 943.0233 Facsimile
info@unitedpressassociation.org
info@gna24.com
www.gna24.com